

**Rich Elliott – City Council**

My input is fairly general:

- \* I like the concept of moving away from on-street parking and towards alleys.
- \* I like having the flexibility to rebuild existing structures that are within setbacks.
- \* I want to encourage density and flexible land use to the point at which it creates infrastructure issues.
- \* I am less willing to support significant changes for infill or existing lots due to the impacts of existing neighbors. ADU's are the exception to this - I think these are reasonable.
- \* I would like to see some flexibility built into parking requirements - ie when the occupancy is likely to use the property during non-traditional times or when they can document a lower parking need - I would like to see a simple and consistent way to factor that into the requirements.
- \* I very much support the concepts presented related to design standards and the energy efficiency material I have reviewed to date.

I am mostly not interested in participating debating the particulars right now. I would like to see most of this percolate a little more in Planning and with staff and receive brief summary updates as it progresses.

I have a little concern over implementation of any plan to narrow the streets below a 20' minimum. I understand the possible benefits but I am concerned from a public safety standpoint.

Other than that, I want to thank you for your efforts, other staff members, and the consultants. That may not always come through when we are asking questions.